

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/049,335	02/08/2002	Kathrin Harre	IN-12118	9871
7590 03/09/2004		EXAMINER		
Basf Corporation			SERGENT, RABON A	
Patent Department 1419 Biddle Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wyandotte, MI 48192-3736			1711	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A				
<u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assign Command	10/049,335	HARRE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RANDONE to cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 De	ecember 2003.					
	<u> </u>					
· <u> </u>	· <u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6-9,11,12,15 and 19-22 is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6-9,11,12,15 and 19-22 is/are rejection of the company of the	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The subject matter of claim 22 fails to further limit claim 15. Within claim 15, it is stated that a mixture of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide is reacted with the H-functional initiator; however, within claim 22, it is stated that propylene oxide is initially reacted with the initiator; therefore, within claim 22, there can be no addition of the mixture of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide onto the initiator, as required by claim 15.

- 2. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support has not been provided for the "total amount of propylene oxide" limitation. The recited value appears to only pertain to the oxypropylene end block.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6-9, 11, 12, 15, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Lear et al. ('994).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,335

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

Lear et al. disclose the production of secondary hydroxyl group containing polyether

polyols, having a terminal oxypropylene block of 25 weight percent of the total polyol weight.

and their use in the production of polyurethanes, wherein the polyol is produced by reacting

propylene oxide with an initiator to yield an oxypropylated compound, which is then

oxyalkylated with a mixture of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide to yield a product, which is

ultimately oxyalkylated with only propylene oxide, in the presence of a double metal cyanide

catalyst. See column 9 and examples 4-6.

The examiner has considered applicants' arguments concerning the language, "... wherein 5.

the proportion of ethylene oxide in the mixture of ethylene oxide and propylene oxide is reduced

during the course of the addition until only pure propylene oxide is being introduced at the end

of the addition ...", and the position is taken that this language only requires that the ethylene

oxide supply be discontinued so that only propylene oxide is being added at the end of the

addition reaction. The language cannot be construed to only read on a gradual decrease in the

amount of ethylene oxide being fed to the reaction. Therefore, the position is further taken that

the claim language is sufficiently broad that the cited passage of the reference encompasses the

claim language.

6. It is regretted that this prior art rejection was not previously set forth.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (571) 272-1079.

R. Sergent March 2, 2004